

Recognizing cyclic matrices and a conjecture of J.G. Thompson

John D. Dixon

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Carleton University,
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K1S 5B6
email: jdixon@math.carleton.ca

June 8, 2016

Abstract

In 2006 J.G. Thompson conjectured: If F is a field and A is in $GL(n, F)$, then there is a permutation matrix P such that AP is cyclic, that is, the minimal polynomial of AP is also its characteristic polynomial (open problem 16.95 in the Kourovka Notebook). The present note provides a simple criterion for a matrix to be cyclic and uses this to prove Thompson's conjecture.

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix over a field F . Then A is called cyclic if there exists a vector $v \in F^n$ such that $F[A]v = F^n$; in other words, if we define the action of the polynomial ring $F[X]$ on F^n by $Xu := Au$, then the $F[X]$ -module F^n is cyclic. An equivalent condition is that the minimal polynomial for A is of degree n and hence equal to the characteristic polynomial (such matrices were classically called nonderogatory). It is easily proved that A is cyclic if and only if in the Jordan form for A there is exactly one Jordan block with eigenvalue α for each of the distinct eigenvalues α of A ; in particular, if A has no multiple eigenvalue then A is cyclic. Clearly if A is cyclic then so is any matrix similar to A .

The difficulty with these characterisations of cyclic matrices is that all seem to depend on properties of powers of A or knowledge of the characteristic polynomial of A . The following characterisation is sometimes easier to use. We shall illustrate this by proving a conjecture of J. G. Thompson.

We first recall some definitions. We shall use the notation $A[i_1 : i_2, j_1 : j_2]$ to denote the submatrix of A consisting of the entries in rows i with $i_1 \leq i \leq i_2$ and columns j with $j_1 \leq j \leq j_2$. We shall call an $n \times n$ matrix A strongly invertible if each of the submatrices $A[1 : k, 1 : k]$ ($k = 1, \dots, n$) is invertible. An $n \times n$ matrix $H = [\theta_{ij}]$ is called Hessenberg if $\theta_{ij} = 0$ whenever $i \geq j + 2$; we shall call $\theta_{21}, \theta_{32}, \dots, \theta_{n,n-1}$ the subdiagonal of H .

Proposition 1 *Let A be an invertible $n \times n$ matrix over a field F . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) A is cyclic;
- (ii) A is similar to a Hessenberg matrix whose subdiagonal entries are all nonzero;
- (iii) A is similar to a Hessenberg matrix whose subdiagonal entries are all equal to 1;
- (iv) A is similar to a matrix B such that $B[2 : n, 1 : n - 1]$ is strongly invertible.

Proof. ((i) $\implies$ (iii)): If A is cyclic then there exists $v \in F^n$ such that $v_{k+1} := A^k v$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$) is a basis of F^n . Then $V := [v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n]$ is an invertible matrix. Since $Av_k = v_{k+1}$ for $k = 1, \dots, n - 1$ we see that $AV = VH$ where H is Hessenberg of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & * \end{bmatrix}.$$

((iii) $\implies$ (i)): If H is Hessenberg with each subdiagonal entry 1 and $e_1 := [1, 0, \dots, 0]^T$ then it is easily verified that the k th entry of $H^k e_1$ is 1 and each of the following entries in $H^k e_1$ is 0 (for $k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$). Hence $H^k e_1$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, n - 1$) is a basis for F^n and so H (and hence A) is cyclic.

((ii) $\iff$ (iii)): One way is trivial. On the other hand if H is any Hessenberg matrix whose subdiagonal entries are nonzero then it is easily verified that there is a diagonal matrix D such that $D^{-1}HD$ is Hessenberg with all subdiagonal entries 1.

((ii) $\iff$ (iv)): One way is obvious. On the other hand let $\mathcal{B}$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ matrices B for which $B[2 : n, 1 : n - 1]$ is strongly invertible. We must show that each $B \in \mathcal{B}$ is similar to a Hessenberg matrix whose subdiagonal entries are all nonzero. Using induction it is enough to prove the following for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$: if $B = [\beta_{ij}] \in \mathcal{B}$ and the entries β_{ij} equal 0 whenever $j \leq i - 2 < k$ then there exists a matrix $B' = [\beta'_{ij}]$ similar to B such that $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ and the entries β'_{ij} equal 0 whenever $j \leq i - 2 < k + 1$. Note that since B and B' lie in $\mathcal{B}$ we have $\beta_{j+1,j} \neq 0$ for all $j < k$ and $\beta'_{j+1,j} \neq 0$ for all $j < k + 1$.

Thus suppose that $k \geq 1$ and $B = [\beta_{ij}] \in \mathcal{B}$ and the entries β_{ij} equal 0 whenever $j \leq i - 2 < k$. Since $B[2 : n, 1 : n - 1]$ is strongly invertible, $B[2 : k + 1, 1 : k]$ is both upper triangular and invertible. Therefore $\beta_{i+1,i} \neq 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, k$ and the $(k + 1)$ st row of B has the form $(0, \dots, 0, \beta_{k+1,k}, * \dots *)$. A series of elementary row operations which consist of subtracting suitable multiples of this row from the lower rows of B will reduce all entries in the (i, k) -positions ($i = k + 2, \dots, n$) to 0 (these operations do not change the zeros in the (i, j) -positions for $j \leq i - 2 < k$). If these are followed by the corresponding elementary column operations we obtain a matrix $B' = [\beta'_{ij}]$ similar to B . The column operations do not affect any entry in the first $k + 1$ columns of the matrix and so the entries

β'_{ij} equal 0 whenever $j \leq i - 2 < k + 1$. Neither do these particular row and column operations change the determinants of the submatrices $B[2 : m+1; 1 : m]$ ($m = 1, \dots, n - 1$) so $B'[2 : n, 1 : n - 1]$ is strongly invertible. Hence $B' \in \mathcal{B}$ and the induction step is proved. This completes the proof of the proposition. ■

The following appears as open problem 16.95 in [1]. It was first published in edition No. 16 of the Kourovka Notebook (2006) and appears to have remained open until now.

Corollary 2 (Conjecture of J.G. Thompson) *Given any invertible $n \times n$ matrix A over a field F there exists a permutation matrix P such that AP is cyclic.*

Proof. We shall prove that there exists a permutation matrix P (permuting the columns of A) such that $AP \in \mathcal{B}$. Since A is not singular there is some permutation matrix Q such that the $(2, 1)$ th entry AQ is nonzero and this is the basis for an induction proof.

We now prove for $k = 1, \dots, n - 2$ that: if B is an $n \times n$ invertible matrix such that $B[2 : k + 1, 1 : k]$ is strongly invertible, then there exists a permutation matrix R_k such that $(BR_k)[2 : k + 2, 1 : k + 1]$ is strongly invertible. Indeed since B is invertible, the submatrix $B[2 : k + 2, 1 : n]$ has rank $k + 1$. Since $B[2 : k + 2, 1 : k]$ is invertible, the first k columns of $B[2 : k + 2, 1 : n]$ are linearly independent, and there must be a further column, say the ℓ th, which is linearly independent of these first k columns. Choose any permutation matrix R_k which leaves the first k columns of B fixed and moves the ℓ th column into the $(k + 1)$ st position. Then BR_k has the required property. In particular, if $k = n - 2$ then $BR_{n-2} \in \mathcal{B}$.

To complete the proof take $P := QR_1 \dots R_{n-2}$ and apply the theorem. ■

Reference

- [1] V. D. Mazurov and E. I. Khukhro (eds.). Unsolved Problems in Group Theory: the Kourovka Notebook (No. 18). Novosibirsk, 2014.
(available at: arXiv: 1401.0300v6 [mathGR])